Office of Educational Quality and Accountability 5400 N Grand Blvd, Suite 200, Oklahoma City, OK, 73112 (405) 522-5399 Fax: (405) 525-0373

Web: http://www.EdProfiles.info

KENDALL-WHITTIER ES (EC-6)

TULSA PUBLIC SCHOOLS

2601 East 5th Place, Tulsa, OK 74104 Telephone: (918) 833-9900

72-1001-251

Community Characteristics

Community Group

About this District:

TULSA

Square Miles Covered by District: 177.428629 Number of School Sites in District: 69

Telephone: (918) 833-9900 2601 East 5th Place Tulsa, OK 74104



County: TULSA

Student Demographics Ethnic & Gender Makeup Based upon Fall Enrollment:	School	<u>District</u>	Community Group Average	State Average
Caucasian	13.7%	22.2%	17.0%	46.3%
Black	10.7%	22.7%	21.2%	7.9%
Asian	1.3%	2.6%	2.3%	2.6%
Hispanic	61.6%	37.2%	47.3%	19.3%
Native American	3.4%	4.8%	3.6%	11.6%
Two or More Races	9.3%	10.5%	8.6%	12.4%
Female	50.1%	48.8%	48.6%	48.7%
Male	49.9%	51.2%	51.4%	51.3%
Bilingual Students	58.1%	36.7%	42.1%	13.3%
Economically Disadvantaged Students	93.2%	78.8%	84.8%	55.9%
Average Property Valuation per Student	DNS	\$86,144	\$81,026	\$64,503

U.S. Census Data (American Community Survey 2017-2021 5-Year Estimate)

District Population	286,666	288,576	7,757
% of Population under 18	23.9%	24.3%	24.3%
% of Children under 18 Living in Married-Couple Household	52.4%	53.4%	65.5%
Average Household Income	\$72,210	\$69,539	\$78,040
Median Household Income	\$48,121	DNS	\$56,956
% of Population below Poverty	20.8%	21.4%	15.2%
Unemployment Rate	7.0%	6.1%	5.0%
Highest Educational Level for Adults Age 25+			
Without H.S. Diploma	14.0%	16.3%	11.3%
H.S. Diploma Only	27.9%	27.3%	30.8%
Some College Education but No Degree	22.5%	22.1%	22.9%
Associate's Degree	7.7%	7.3%	8.2%
Bachelor's Degree and Above	27.9%	27.1%	26.8%

Preparation, Motivation & Parental Support

KG-3rd Graders Receiving Reading Remediation	67.8%	58.3%	68.1%	49.2%
Average Number of Days Absent per Student (based on 175 school days)	17.8	24.3	22.8	12.4
Mobility Rate (Incoming Students)	9.6%	13.8%	14.7%	9.8%
Student Suspension Ratio: (Higher number is better.)				
There was 1 suspension (of 10 days or less) for every students.	8.7	6.4	6.4	15.6
There was 1 suspension (of more than 10 days) for every students.	None	47.6	73.8	115.2
Parents Attending Parent/Teacher Conference	89%	69%	64%	72%
Patrons' Volunteer Hours per Student	1.4	1.6	1.0	1.3

TULSA - KENDALL-WHITTIER ES 72-I001-251

2021-22 School Educational Process

School District Chrom-Average Enrollment 100 10 10 10 10 10 10	ninistration Characteristics			.	_	Community		
Average Enrollment throughout the Year (ADM) 793 32,624 32,337 w of Students Eligible for Free Rechards 100.0% 76.5% 88.2% 80.5% of Students Eligible for Free Rechards 100.0% 76.5% 88.2% 80.5% of Students and English Language Learnes (ELL) 54.8% 27.6% 33.5% of Students and English Language Learnes (ELL) 54.8% 27.6% 33.5% of Students and English Language Learnes (ELL) 54.8% 27.6% 33.5% of Students and English Language Learnes (ELL) 54.8% 27.6% 34.4% Non-Special Extrachers (Based on Full Time Equivalent, FTE): Number of Teachers Average States of Full Time Equivalent, FTE): Number of Teachers (Based on Full Time Equivalent, FTE): Number of Teachers (Based on Full Time Equivalent, FTE): Number of Teachers (FTE) 52.0 1,705.1 1,805.8 Average Years of Experience 7.70 10.2 9.8 Special Education Teachers (FTE) 2.0 113.7 10.0 9.8 Special Education Teachers (FTE) 2.0 113.7 112.6 Other Cartified Professional Staff (FTE) 3.2 23.47 281.2 Administrators (FTE) 4.0 191.3 213.3 123.3 123.3 123.4 124.2 Non-Experiment Staff (FTE) 3.2 124.7 125.6 Other Cartified Professional Staff (FTE) 3.2 124.7 125.6 Other Cartified Professional Staff (FTE) 3.5 58.5 58.5 58.5 58.5 58.5 58.5 58.5		School		District	Gr	oup Average	<u>S</u>	ate Aver
Average Enrollment throughout the Year (ADM) 793 32,624 32,337 w of Students Eligible for Free Rechards 100.0% 76.5% 88.2% 80.5% of Students Eligible for Free Rechards 100.0% 76.5% 88.2% 80.5% of Students and English Language Learnes (ELL) 54.8% 27.6% 33.5% of Students and English Language Learnes (ELL) 54.8% 27.6% 33.5% of Students and English Language Learnes (ELL) 54.8% 27.6% 33.5% of Students and English Language Learnes (ELL) 54.8% 27.6% 34.4% Non-Special Extrachers (Based on Full Time Equivalent, FTE): Number of Teachers Average States of Full Time Equivalent, FTE): Number of Teachers (Based on Full Time Equivalent, FTE): Number of Teachers (Based on Full Time Equivalent, FTE): Number of Teachers (FTE) 52.0 1,705.1 1,805.8 Average Years of Experience 7.70 10.2 9.8 Special Education Teachers (FTE) 2.0 113.7 10.0 9.8 Special Education Teachers (FTE) 2.0 113.7 112.6 Other Cartified Professional Staff (FTE) 3.2 23.47 281.2 Administrators (FTE) 4.0 191.3 213.3 123.3 123.3 123.4 124.2 Non-Experiment Staff (FTE) 3.2 124.7 125.6 Other Cartified Professional Staff (FTE) 3.2 124.7 125.6 Other Cartified Professional Staff (FTE) 3.5 58.5 58.5 58.5 58.5 58.5 58.5 58.5		794		33.211		32,649		1,29
Mo of Students Eligible for FreeReduced Lunch 100.0% 76.8% 88.2% 76.0% 78.8% 10.9% 9.0% 76.5% 10.9% 9.0% 76.5% 10.9% 9.0% 76.5% 10.9% 9.0% 76.5% 10.9% 9.0% 76.5% 10.9% 9.0% 76.5% 10.9% 9.0% 76.5% 10.9% 9.0% 76.5% 10.9% 9.0%	aroughout the Year (ADM)	793						1,28
No of Students Sentified as Giffed Talented 7.8% 10.9% 9.0% 0.	, ,							52.39
No CS Nordents as English Language Learners (ELL) 54.8% 27.6% 33.5% 14.3% 14.4% 14.3% 14.4% 14.3% 14.4% 14.5% 14.3% 14.4% 14.3% 14.4% 14.3%								12.39
So Students in Special Education 14.5% 14.3% 14.4%								9.7
Non-Special Ed. Teachers (Based on Full Time Equivalent, FTE): Number of Teachers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							15.6
Number of Teachers								
Average Salary (w) Fringe) \$52,465 \$54,191 \$56,386 % of Teachers with Advanced Degree(s) 26.5% 27.7% 27.6% Average Years of Experience 7.0 10.2 9.8 Experial Education Teachers (FTE) 7.0 2290 280		45.0		1,705.1		1,805.8		70
Average Nears of Experience 7.0 10.2 9.8 periodical Education Teachers (FTE) 7.0 229.0 280.0 200		\$52,465		\$54,191				\$54,43
Average Years of Experience 7.0 10.2 9.8 periodic Education Teachers (FTE) 7.0 229.0 280.0 consolors (FTE) 2.0 113.7 112.6 consolors (FTE) 3.2 234.7 281.2 consolors (FTE) 3.2 234.7 281.2 consolors (FTE) 3.2 234.7 281.2 consolors (FTE) 3.0 10.1 9.7 consolors (FTE) 3.0 10.1 9.7 consolors (FTE) 3.0 10.1 9.7 consolors (FTE) 581.152 598.582 596.306 consolors 2 solors 2 solor	ith Advanced Degree(s)	26.5%		27.7%		27.6%		24.5
Special Education Teachers (FTE) 7.0 229.0 280.0 280.0 290.0 280.0 290.0 280								12
20	•	7.0		229.0		280.0		8
### Community From the Certified Professional Staff (FTE) 3.2 234.7 281.2	,							3
Administrators (FTE)	sional Staff (FTE)							7
Section Sect	(<u>-</u>)							7
Section Sect	trator							10
Section Carriculum Control Section Carriculum								\$92,1
Verage HS Course Offerings by Site Non-electives: Sinch Arts								
So of HS Graduates Completing Regents' College-Bound Curriculum DNS 83.4% 72.7%	rs Taking Career-Tech Offered Courses	DNS		39.8%		55.8%		44.0
Non-electives: Fine Arts	ompleting Regents' College-Bound Curriculum	DNS		83.4%		72.7%		81.5
Fine Arts	offerings by Site							
Science								
Mathematics								7
Computer Education								(
Social Studies								
English Language Arts (ELA)								2
World Languages								
Electives								4
Total Number of Courses Offered DNS 64.9 68.2	uages							2
District 41.8% 36.5% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4% 1.4								18 54
Strict		Ditto		01.9		00.2		J
District Community Group 2.2% 2.4%	t Revenues (All Funds)							
tate Dedicated 3.7% 3.8% tate Appropriated 24.8% 26.3% ederal 27.5% 31.1% stimated % of Bonding Capacity Utilized (Estimate of Needs) 0.0% 31.6% strict Expenditures (Bond Funds Excluded) District								35.5
tate Dedicated 3.7% 3.8% tate Appropriated 24.8% 26.3% ederal 27.5% 31.1% stimated % of Bonding Capacity Utilized (Estimate of Needs) 0.0% 31.6% District Community Group % \$/ADM				2.2%		2.4%		2.5
ederal 27.5% 31.1% stimated % of Bonding Capacity Utilized (Estimate of Needs) 0.0% 31.6% istrict Expenditures (Bond Funds Excluded) District Community Group % \$/ADM % \$/ADM 9				3.7%		3.8%		6.3
istrict Expenditures (Bond Funds Excluded) District Community Group % \$/ADM % \$/ADM 9				24.8%		26.3%		36.1
Strict Expenditures (Bond Funds Excluded) District Community Group % \$/ADM % \$/ADM 9				27.5%		31.1%		19.7
District Community Group	ng Capacity Utilized (Estimate of Needs)			0.0%		31.6%		54.2
% \$/ADM	tres (Bond Funds Excluded)							
% \$/ADM			District Community Group		Stat	e		
							%	\$/AD
nstruction 46.6% \$5,582 47.9% \$6,042		_		\$5,582	47.9%		52.5%	\$5,5
Instructional Support 6.5% \$781 7.7% \$969							4.0%	\$4

Instructional Support 6.5% \$781 7.7% \$969 4.0% \$423 8.7% \$1,048 9.2% \$1,160 7.5% \$793 Student Support 5.9% \$705 5.7% \$719 5.5% \$575 School Administration District Administration 1.5% \$176 1.3% \$164 2.9% \$305 District Support 18.7% \$2,244 18.8% \$2,367 19.1% \$2,019 \$1,189 Other 12.1% \$1,455 9.4% 8.5% \$895

 Total
 \$11,990
 \$12,609
 \$10,547


 Debt Service in Addition to Above
 \$2,342
 \$1,617
 \$1,211

TULSA - KENDALL-WHITTIER ES 72-I001-251

2021-22 Student Performance (Regular Education Students, Full Academic Year at This Site)



The state of Oklahoma adopted much higher performance standards in 2017. The test results are therefore not comparable to those from previous years.



Data Not Shown (DNS)

(3) No Valid Data to Complete Calculation

(2) Data Protected by Privacy Laws

Possible Reasons: (1) Not Applicable

TULSA - KENDALL-WHITTIER ES 72-I001-251

2021-22 Student Performance (All Students)

